

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000782060
<b>NC Date</b>	27/03/2022
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	S2HT52107B
<b>Part Name</b>	OUTER SPRING K0PG
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	101048-STUMPP SCHUELE AND SOMAPPA SPR
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1136-ETL Suspension Sanand
<b>Defect Details</b>	DIMETER UNDERSIZE-INNERDIA UNDERSIZE

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	ID Under Size
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Fitment
<b>NG Quantity</b>	1
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	Yes
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	pathan.ak@ssssprings.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	udham.singh@ssssprings.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	rln@ssssprings.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	2016	0	0	0	1200	3216
<b>Check Qty</b>	2016	0	0	0	1200	3216
<b>NG Qty</b>	1	0	0	0	1	2

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	2
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

Available internal parts segregated 100 %. Available Qty of 1200 nos checked, 1 part found having ID undersize. All the springs will be provided with small line on small ID end for next 3 lots.

### 3. Process Flow

#### Process Flow Description

Receipt & inspection - Visual - Storage of material - Winding RH - Stress Relieving - Grinding - Shot peening - Scragging - Lo,OD,e1 & bend sorting and correction - Stress Relieving (LTA) - Powder coating - Final Inspection - Packing

### 4. Process Details

<b>Process / Operation</b>	Grinding
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	SGM-2 (Grinding machine)
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	Grinding

### 5. Problem Analysis

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Machine	Wrong Set up during coiling	Verified and found the correct setting was done.	O
Man	Unskilled Operator	Skilled operator deputed at coiling stage	O
Tool	Wrong or damaged magazine plate at Grinding stage	Verified and found the correct magazine plate for grinding of this part	O
Method	Wrong orientation of spring in Grinding bush.	End moved inside due to wrong placement of spring in grinding bush	X
Method	Part mix up	Verified & found that NG parts mixed-up with OK parts.	X

### 6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

### 7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

<b>Why 1</b>	Problem occur during grinding operation.
<b>Why 2</b>	Spring in Guide bush placed with wrong orientation (Bigger side down in place of upward)
<b>Why 3</b>	spring orientation during grinding not specified & documented
<b>Why 4</b>	
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	spring orientation during grinding not specified & documented

### Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

<b>Why 1</b>	Problem occur during 100% ID inspection at powder coating.
<b>Why 2</b>	Captured ID tight parts mixed-up with Ok parts.
<b>Why 3</b>	Both the parts were kept nearby on inspection table by operator.

<b>Why 4</b>	No separator for the OK and NG parts at inspection table.
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	No separator for the OK and NG parts at inspection table.

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Occurance	Work instruction revised to add the spring orientation (Bigger side up) in Grinding bush during grinding operation & training provided to the operators accordingly.	Mr. Dixit	07/04/2022	07/04/2022	Completed
Occurance	ID inspection and Control added at Grinding Stage, Control plan revised for the same.	Mr. Maulesh	06/04/2022	06/04/2022	Completed
Outflow	Separator provided on inspection table with proper identification to avoid mix-up	Mr. Shashank	06/04/2022	06/04/2022	Completed
Outflow	Awareness training given to the operators to keep NG parts in red bin.	Mr. Shashank	07/04/2022	06/04/2022	Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	Yes
<b>Change Details</b>	Sampling inspection for ID started at Grinding stage, control plan revised for the same.
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

## 10. Evidance of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	No ID inspection at Grinding stage, Spring orientation in Grinding was not specified in Work instruction <a href="#">26_Occurance_Before.pdf</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	ID inspection started at Grinding stage, Control plan revised for the same. Work instruction revised to add the spring orientation (Bigger side up) in Grinding bush during grinding operation & training provided to the operators accordingly. <a href="#">26_Occurance_After.jpg</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	OK and reworkable parts were kept at the same inspection table without any separator. <a href="#">26_Outflow_Before.png</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	Separator provided on inspection table to place OK and reworkable parts separate to avoid mix-up of OK and NG parts <a href="#">26_Outflow_After.png</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	Yes
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	Inspection for ID started for all the OC springs.

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	ControlPlan, WISOP
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	No

## 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	5000
<b>Reason for submission</b>	Accepted