

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000782143
<b>NC Date</b>	28/03/2022
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	580DV00102
<b>Part Name</b>	GEAR CONTROL PULLY H BAR ASSLY(24191184)
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	101086-PUNE POLYMERS PVT. LTD.
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1102-ETL L-6 Die Casting
<b>Defect Details</b>	FITMENT NOT OK.-HOLE SHIFT

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	Diameter 2.2 Shift
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Fitment
<b>NG Quantity</b>	669
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	Yes
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	qa.waluj@punepolymers.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	planthead.waluj@punepolymers.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	s.gupta@punepolymers.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	5000	0	0	1500	1500	8000
<b>Check Qty</b>	5000	0	0	1500	1500	8000
<b>NG Qty</b>	550	0	0	0	0	550

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	550
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

100 % inspection done for all material in stock.

## 3. Process Flow

## Process Flow Description

In. molding - Inspection - packing - Dispatch

## 4. Process Details

<b>Process / Operation</b>	Inj. molding
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	Cell A
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	Cell A

## 5. Problem Analysis

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Tool	Pin bent in mold.	Pin bent in mold.	O

## 6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	Sampling
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	10

## 7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

<b>Why 1</b>	Fitment not ok
<b>Why 2</b>	Hole shift
<b>Why 3</b>	Pin bent in mold.
<b>Why 4</b>	
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	Pin bent in mold.

## Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

<b>Why 1</b>	Skipped from inspection.
<b>Why 2</b>	Not detected in sampling.
<b>Why 3</b>	
<b>Why 4</b>	
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	Not detected in sampling.

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
------	------------------------	----------------	-------------	-------------	--------

Occurance	Pin replaced in the mold.	PPPL	15/04/2022	15/04/2022	Completed
Outflow	100 % inspection	PPPL	05/04/2022	05/04/2022	Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	Yes
<b>Change Details</b>	100 % inspection.
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100 %

## 10. Evidance of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	Pin bent <a href="#">30_Occurance_Before.xls</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	Pin replaced. <a href="#">30_Occurance_After.xls</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	Sampling inspection. <a href="#">30_Outflow_Before.xls</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	100 % inspection. <a href="#">30_Outflow_After.xls</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	No
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	NA

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	ControlPlan, PFMEA, WISOP, InspCheckSheet
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	NA

## 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	50000
<b>Reason for submission</b>	Monitor for next one month & no issue observed