

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000788831
<b>NC Date</b>	25/05/2022
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	53BKZ00102
<b>Part Name</b>	RUBBER BUSH
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	101023-FORES ELASTOMECH INDIA PVT. LT
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1136-ETL Suspension Sanand
<b>Defect Details</b>	NOT AS PER LIMIT SAMPLE-SHORT SHOT

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	Short shot
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Function
<b>NG Quantity</b>	13
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	No
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	<a href="#">3jd0wsgljllsqocql1hxmsz.jpg</a>

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	malani.pritam@foresgroup.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	singh.barinder@foresgroup.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	swamy.pj@foresgroup.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	1000	0	0	1000	0	2000
<b>Check Qty</b>	1000	0	0	1000	0	2000
<b>NG Qty</b>	32	0	0	0	0	32

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	32
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

100 % Stock verify at Fores End .

## 3. Process Flow

**Process Flow Description**

Rubber &amp; Chemical - Inward inspection - Rubber Mixing - Hardness inspection - Moulding - Visual inspection - Standard packing &amp; Dispatch .

**4. Process Details**

<b>Process / Operation</b>	Molding
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	Moulding
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	Moulding

**5. Problem Analysis**

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Machine	Mold temperature less or more	PLC controlled if parameter not okay found then machine stop	X
Man	Skipped from inspection	Inspector not aware	O
Machine	Curing Time Less or more	PLC controlled if parameter not okay found then machine stop	X
Material	Material sequence not followed at Intermix in Mixing department	Error proofing system for chemical weighing , so there is no chances of variation in chemical weight	X
Material	Wrong material used	Last six month hardness data verified found okay	X

**6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)**

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Other
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	Visual
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	No
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100 %

**7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)**

<b>Why 1</b>	Short Mould
<b>Why 2</b>	weak joint in rubber to rubber
<b>Why 3</b>	Material Flow is less in mold cavity
<b>Why 4</b>	material Flow Not uniform for all cavity .
<b>Why 5</b>	Carbon deposition in mold cavity .
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	Carbon Deposition in mold cavity .

**Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)**

<b>Why 1</b>	Short mold
<b>Why 2</b>	Skip from inspection
<b>Why 3</b>	Inspector not aware about potential failure
<b>Why 4</b>	
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	Inspector not aware about potential failure .

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Outflow	Q gate Implement at Inspection stage ( 200 % inspection start for one month .	Amar Patil .	25/05/2022	20/05/2022	Completed
Occurance	Clean the Mould ( remove all carbon )	Mr Zukle	25/05/2022	19/05/2022	Completed
Occurance	Mold cleaning frequency Increase	Mr Zukle	25/05/2022		Pending
Outflow	100 % Marking start an ID of part for One month .	Mr Shinde	25/05/2022	19/05/2022	Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	No
<b>Change Details</b>	Visual
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Other
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	Visual
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100 %

## 10. Evidence of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	Mold unclean ( carbon deposition observed in mold cavity ) . <a href="#">148_Occurance_Before.xlsx</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	Clean the Mold . Dirt cleaning frequency increase from three month to Two month . <a href="#">148_Occurance_After.pdf</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	Inspector not aware & Insufficient training. <a href="#">148_Outflow_Before.png</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	Training given to Inspector . 200 % inspection start for one month . Inspection marking start for one month . <a href="#">148_Outflow_After.xlsx</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	Yes
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	N/A

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	N/A

## 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	0
--------------------------	---

**Reason for submission**

1. Fact Verification and Jud. are not aligned. Also detail statement to be given in fact verification 2. Root cause occurenc side Why 5 statement are not clear, detaile clear statement to be written. Just mold unclean could not be root cause. 3. Outflow side root cause not identified. Why inspector are not aware about potential failure?? 4. System side cause must be identified in Why 5. 5. Countermeasure are not adequate i.e. no training given to perator, No OPL was displayed etc. 6. Q-gate implemented at FI stage, how it could be a root cause while already Visual inspection done at FI stage. If previously visual inspection not done then how operator unawarness could be root cause of outflow. 7. All relevant documents for all causes and actions are not attached. All evidences must be attached to confirm action and root cause. Zip file can be uploaded. Hence Upload multiple files in compressed form. 8. Horizontal deployment is yes but machine detailes not mentioned. it should be mentioned. 9. All updated documents must be reflect in section number 12.