

Defect Details

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| NC No. | 8000808151 |
| NC Date | 17/10/2022 |
| NC Submission Date | |
| Part No. | S2BG03908B |
| Part Name | BKT POWDER COATED |
| Supplier Name & Code | 101032-ASM CASTINGS PRIVATE LIMITED (|
| ETL Plant | 1116-ETL K-120 Suspension |
| Defect Details | THREADING NOT OK-THREADING NOT OK |

1. Problem Description

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Defect Description | KHI Bracket observed with step at ID side concern. This causing assembly fitment (Rubber bush fitment) not OK. |
| Detection Stage | Inprocess |
| Problem Severity | Fitment |
| NG Quantity | 553 |
| Is Defect Repeatative? | Yes |
| Defect Sketch / Photo | |

Supplier Communication Details

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Quality Head Email ID | quality@asmcastings.in |
| Plant Head/CEO Email ID | skaul@asmcastings.in |
| MD Email ID | rajiv@asmcastings.in |

2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

| Location | ETL End | Warehouse | Transit | Supplier FG | Supplier WIP | Total |
|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Total Qty | 2000 | 1200 | 0 | 2800 | 0 | 6000 |
| Check Qty | 2000 | 1200 | 0 | 2800 | 0 | 6000 |
| NG Qty | 553 | 128 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 902 |

Action taken on NG part

| | |
|------------------------|-----|
| Scrap | 902 |
| Rework | 0 |
| Under Deviation | 0 |

Containment Action

100% Segregation at customer as well as ASM End.

3. Process Flow

Process Flow Description

R.M. Receiving - Die Casting - Fattling - Bush Fitting Dia Reamer - Drilling & Tapping - Visual Inspection - PDI -Packing - Dispatch - Powder Coating at Tulza Ent. - Dispatch to ETL.

4. Process Details

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Process / Operation | Powder Coating |
| Outsource | Yes |
| Machine / Cell | Die Casting |
| Machine / Cell No. | PDC-01 |

5. Problem Analysis

| Type | Possible Cause | Fact Verification | Jud |
|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Material | Hard Particle in Al Ingot. | Chemical Composition | O |
| Method | Reamer not adequate | Reamer Size Checked | O |
| Man | Un Skilled Operator | Skill Matrix | O |
| Tool | Pin Bulged in Die | Physical Verification | X |
| Machine | Play in Machine Platon | Physical Verification | O |

6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

| | |
|----------------------------------------|------------|
| Inspection Method | Instrument |
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | Sampling |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | 05 Nos. |

7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Why 1 | Step Observed in ID |
| Why 2 | Pin Bulged during Mass Production |
| Why 3 | Not Checked in Process Inspection |
| Why 4 | Check Point not added in Inspection td. |
| Why 5 | |
| Root Cause (Occurance) | Check point not added in Inspection Std. for Step in I.D. |

Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Why 1 | Step in I.D. |
| Why 2 | Could Not detected during Final Inspection |
| Why 3 | Inspector Not aware about Issue. |
| Why 4 | Check Point not added in Work Instruction. |
| Why 5 | |
| Root Cause (Outflow) | Check For Step in I.D. , not added in Work Instruction of Final Inspection. |

8. Countermeasure (Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions)

| Type | Countermeasure Details | Responsibility | Target Date | Actual Date | Status |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Occurance | Check Point added for Step In I.D. in Inspection Std. of PDC. | Lalit Sharma | 10/11/2022 | | Completed |
| Outflow | Check Point added in Work Instruction of Final Inspection | Lalit Sharma | 10/11/2022 | | Completed |

9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

| | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Change In Inspection System | Yes |
| Change Details | Earlier Limit Sample was not there for Compare |
| Inspection Method | Other |
| Other Inspection Method | Limit Sample. |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | 100% |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | 100% |

10. Evidence of Countermeasure

| | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Occurance (Before) | No Check Point at PDC stage for Step in I.D. 288_Occurance_Before.jpg |
| Occurance (After) | Check Point added for step in ID at PDC Stage 288_Occurance_After.jpg |
| Outflow (Before) | No Check Point for Step in I.D. in Work Instruction & No Limit Sample 288_Outflow_Before.jpg |
| Outflow (After) | Check point added in Work Instruction at Final Stage & Limit Sample Provided. 288_Outflow_After.jpg |

11. Horizontal Deployment

| | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Horizontal Deployment Required | Yes |
| Applicable Machine / Model / Plant | PDC Machine |

12. Document Review

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Documents | ControlPlan, PFMEA, WISOP, InspCheckSheet |
| Specify Other Document | Limit Sample |

13. Effectiveness Of Action

| | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Reviewed Quantity | 1000 |
| Reason for submission | No any reoccurrence. |

