#### **Defect Details**

NC No.	8000859440
NC Date	18/01/2024
NC Submission Date	
Part No.	520DW01303
Part Name	FLANGE BOLT SUZUKI
Supplier Name & Code	100073-SINGLA FORGING (P) LTD
ETL Plant	1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension
<b>Defect Details</b>	NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-THREAD NG/ OVERSIZE

# 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	Fitment issue - Thread NG
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
Problem Severity	Fitment
NG Quantity	28
Is Defect Repeatative?	No
Defect Sketch / Photo	

# Supplier Communication Details

Quality Head Email ID	quality@singlaforging.in
Plant Head/CEO Email ID	quality@singlaforging.in
MD Email ID	jain@singlaforging.com

### 2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
Total Qty	5028	0	0	0	0	5028
Check Qty	5028	0	0	0	0	5028
NG Qty	28	0	0	0	0	28

### Action taken on NG part

Scrap	28
Rework	0
Under Deviation	0

Containment Action		
segrsdmklxfd		

#### 3. Process Flow

#### 4. Process Details

Process / Operation	COLLAR MACHINING
Outsource	No
Machine / Cell	TURNING TRAUB MACHINING
Machine / Cell No.	01

### 5. Problem Analysis

Туре	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Method	TOOL FREQENCY RESHARPNING WAS NOT EFFECTIVE	TOOL LIFE RECVALIDATION DONE	X
Man	OPERATOR WAS SKILLED	SKILL MATRIX VERIFIED	Х

#### 6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

Inspection Method	Other
Other Inspection Method	VISUAL INSPECTION
Check Point at Final Inspection	Yes
Checking Freq.	100%
Sampling	No
Sample Size	100%

### 7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

Why 1	THE PROBLEM OCCURED AT FACING & COLLAR MACHINING STAGE OF MANUFATURING SEQUENCE
Why 2	THE FACING TOOL WAS WEAR RESULTED INTO BURR ON FACE
Why 3	THE RESHARPNING FREQUENCY FOR THE CUTTING TOOL WAS DEFINED 200 NO'S
Why 4	RESHARPNINING FREQWUENCY WAS NOT EFFECTIVE, NEED TO BE REVALIDATED AS RAISED CUSTOMER COMPLAINT
Why 5	
Root Cause (Occurance)	RESHARPNINING FREQWUENCY WAS NOT EFFECTIVE, NEED TO BE REVALIDATED AS RAISED CUSTOMER COMPLAINT

### Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

Why 1	VISUALLY INSPECTION WAS NOT EFFECTIVE
Why 2	THOUGH INSPECTOR WAS SKILLED YET IF A FEW PARTS SKIPPED FROM VISUAL INSPECTION
Why 3	
Why 4	
Why 5	
Root Cause (Outflow)	THOUGH INSPECTOR WAS SKILLED YET IF A FEW PARTS SKIPPED FROM VISUAL INSPECTION

### 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Type	Countenneasure Details	Nesponsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status

Occurance	THOUGH INSPECTOR WAS SKILLED YET IF A FEW PARTS SKIPPED FROM VISUAL INSPECTION	Mr. RAM HARESH	01/02/2024	12/02/2024	Completed	
Outflow	DEDICATED PERSON APPOINTED	Mr. AMBHORE	08/02/2024	14/02/2024	Completed	

# 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

Change In Inspection System	No
Change Details	DEDICATED INSPECTOR DEPLOYED, SKILL MATRIX REVIEWED AFTER SHIFT OVER
Inspection Method	Other
Other Inspection Method	100% VISUAL
Check Point at Final Inspection	No
Checking Freq.	100%
Sampling	No
Sample Size	100%

#### 10. Evidance of Countermeasure

Occurance (Before)	EVIDANCE ATTACHED 637_Occurance_Before.xlsx
Occurance (After)	EVIDANCE ATTACHED 637_Occurance_After.xlsx
Outflow (Before)	EVIDANCE ATTACHED 637_Outflow_Before.jpeg
Outflow (After)	EVIDANCE ATTACHED 637_Outflow_After.jpeg

# 11. Horizontal Deployment

Horizontal Deployment Required	No
Applicable Machine / Model / Plant	NO

#### 12. Document Review

Documents	
Specify Other Document	TLO

### 13. Effectiveness Of Action

Reviewed Quantity	352
Reason for submission	Checked found ok