QFR No - 8000873485

Defect Details

| NC No. | 8000873485 |
|----------------------|--|
| NC Date | 06/05/2024 |
| NC Submission Date | |
| Part No. | F2LG03302B |
| Part Name | SEAT PIPE (B104E |
| Supplier Name & Code | 100648-JOTIBA TECHNOLOGIES PVT.LTD. |
| ETL Plant | 1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension |
| Defect Details | NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-GROOVE OD RUNOUT MORE |

1. Problem Description

| Defect Description | GROOVE OD RUNOUT MORE |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Detection Stage | Receipt |
| Problem Severity | Function |
| NG Quantity | 52 |
| Is Defect Repeatative? | No |
| Defect Sketch / Photo | |

Supplier Communication Details

| Quality Head Email ID | accjotiba@gmail.com |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Plant Head/CEO Email ID | sanghavi.rajesh@sanghavigroup.co.in |
| MD Email ID | jotibatech@gmail.com |

2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

| Location | ETL End | Warehouse | Transit | Supplier FG | Supplier WIP | Total |
|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Total Qty | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| Check Qty | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| NG Qty | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |

Action taken on NG part

| Scrap | 52 |
|-----------------|----|
| Rework | 0 |
| Under Deviation | 0 |

Containment Action

Boring set-up approval time inspect thread runout wrt groove diameter, if runout is ok then start boring operation.

10.Raw material Inspection ,20.Parting Off 30.Chamfer,40.Weighing,50.Draw Forging,60.Hex Forging,70.Rough Grinding,80.Collar Machining,90.Total length facing and Boring,100.Piercing,110.DF Hole chamfering,120. Compression Hole chamfering, 130.ID Reaming,140.Tapping,150.Finish Grinding,160.Final Inspection,170.ID Cleaning,180.Ultrasonic Cleaning,190.Apply antirust oil,200.Packing and Dispatch

4. Process Details

| Process / Operation | Total length facing and Boring |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Outsource | No |
| Machine / Cell | CNC |
| Machine / Cell No. | CNC01, CNC02, CNC05 |

5. Problem Analysis

| Туре | Possible Cause | Fact Verification | Jud |
|----------|--|--|-----|
| Tool | Job slip in holding split collet due to collet wear out. | Collet condition is found ok. | 0 |
| Machine | Axial runout of job and spindle body above 0.1 mm. | Found runout within 0.05 mm | 0 |
| Man | Chuck jaw was break due to minor accident on machine. | Operator not inspect jaw condition | Х |
| Method | Thread runout not inspect at boring setup approval time. | Thread runout inspect at tapping operation done. | Х |
| Material | Boring time ID runout excess. | Boring ID runout found 0.12 mm. | 0 |

6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

| Inspection Method | Instrument |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | Sampling |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | Sampling |

7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

| Why 1 | Thread runout wrt groove diameter is more |
|------------------------|---|
| Why 2 | line mark on boring face. |
| Why 3 | insert corner break. |
| Why 4 | boring time job not clamp properly. |
| Why 5 | chuck jaw was break due to minor accident on the machine but this time jaw condition not inspect. |
| Root Cause (Occurance) | Chuck jaw was break that why job not clamp properly and insert corner is break. |

Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

| Why 1 | Thread runout wrt groove diameter is more. |
|----------------------|---|
| Why 2 | Excess runout piece not detect at final inspection side. |
| Why 3 | due to inspect runout at final inspection sampling basis. |
| Why 4 | |
| Why 5 | |
| Root Cause (Outflow) | Runout inspection frequency is low at final inspection. |

8. Countermeasure (Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions)

| Туре | Countermeasure Details | Responsibility | Target Date | Actual Date | Status |
|-----------|--|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Outflow | Runout sampling inspection frequency increase at final inspection time. | Gopinath Gore | 17/06/2024 | 17/06/2024 | Completed |
| Occurance | Start inspection at time boring done and also start daily chuck jaw condition. | Gaurav Mhaske | 11/05/2024 | 11/05/2024 | Completed |

9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

| Change In Inspection System | Yes |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Change Details | start inspection at time of boring setup approval. |
| Inspection Method | Instrument |
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | Sampling |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | Sampling. |

10. Evidance of Countermeasure

| Occurance (Before) | Thread runout wrt groove diameter inspection not done boring setup approval time. 778_Occurance_Before.xlsx |
|--------------------|---|
| Occurance (After) | Thread runout wrt groove diameter inspection done boring setup approval time and CNC Chuck jaw inspection done. 778_Occurance_After.xlsx |
| Outflow (Before) | Before Sampling plan inspection frequency. 778_Outflow_Before.xlsx |
| Outflow (After) | Revise sampling plan frequency change. 778_Outflow_After.xlsx |

11. Horizontal Deployment

| Horizontal Deployment Required | No |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| Applicable Machine / Model / Plant | No |

12. Document Review

| Documents | ControlPlan, InspCheckSheet |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Specify Other Document | NO |

13. Effectiveness Of Action

| Reviewed Quantity | 100 |
|-----------------------|-----|
| Reason for submission | OK |