

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000873533
<b>NC Date</b>	06/05/2024
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	550DZ04002
<b>Part Name</b>	FORK BOLT - 5TSF
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	100189-SANGKAJ STEEL PVT LTD.
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension
<b>Defect Details</b>	NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-THREAD MISSING

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	THREAD MISSING
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Fitment
<b>NG Quantity</b>	4
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	Yes
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	qualityassurance@sangkaj.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	steel@sangkaj.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	anirudh.2007@hotmail.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	0	0	0	700	0	700
<b>Check Qty</b>	0	0	0	700	0	700
<b>NG Qty</b>	0	0	0	1	0	1

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	1
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

Sangkaj Steel End all FG Material Segregated and no NG Qty found. No material available at customer end.

## 3. Process Flow

**Process Flow Description**

RM Inward-RM Inspection-Traub Machining-Grinding-Milling-Deburring-Tapping-Rolling-Plating-Final Inspection-Dispatch

**4. Process Details**

<b>Process / Operation</b>	Thread Rolling
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	Thread Rolling Machine
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	01

**5. Problem Analysis**

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Man	New operator	Thread Rolling done by Experienced Operator	O
Method	Inadequate Inspection Method	Threads are Checked Visually Only	X
Material	Input Material not OK	Input material having Pre-roll Diameter within Specification,	O
Tool	Tool Wear out	Thread Rolls life was not Over, Resharpener done Recently	O
Method	Setup Part Mix up	operator Setting method observed unsatisfactory, this may have lead to setup piece mix-up	X

**6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)**

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Other
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	Visual Inspection
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

**7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)**

<b>Why 1</b>	Threading Washout Parts Found at Customer End
<b>Why 2</b>	Threads Not Completely formed during Rolling
<b>Why 3</b>	While Setting Full Pressure not Applied on Parts
<b>Why 4</b>	Setting Parts produced on Low Pressure not kept Separately and got mixed up with OK parts after Setting.
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	Setting Parts Mix-up

**Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)**

<b>Why 1</b>	Threading Washout Parts Found at Customer End
<b>Why 2</b>	Threads Washout parts skipped from Final Inspection
<b>Why 3</b>	threads are checked Visually only & in visual inspection inspector not able to arrest such parts.
<b>Why 4</b>	Single Inspector Need to check multiple parameters related to aesthetics` along with threading
<b>Why 5</b>	Inspection Method is not proper.
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	Inspection Method is not proper.

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Outflow	During Visual Inspection, single Inspector checks for all visual Defects Including threading, due to this by mistake defective threading Parts skips to customer End. To avoid this one more Inspector is Deputed for inspection of threading. Along with Visual Inspection 100% major Diameter Inspection with PRG will be done.	MR. Anil Chaudhari	13/05/2024	13/05/2024	Completed
Occurance	On thread Rolling Machine, Closed bins for Rejection & Rework are Provided having One way Entry. Operator will keep the Setup Parts in these bins depending on Inspection Result. As these bins are for one way entry only, reduces the chances Part Mix-up. Also Instructions given to Operator to take part for setting identified with red paint to get it recognized visually if by mistake got mixed up in ok parts.	Mr. Anil Chaudhari	15/05/2024		Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	Yes
<b>Change Details</b>	100% threading Major Dia. Inspection with Ring gauge of 25.65mm
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

## 10. Evidence of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	During Setup, Operator Directly mix Setup Pieces in regular ok material. <a href="#">786_Occurance_Before.pptx</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	Closed Rework & Rejection bins having one way Entry are Provided on Machines. Also part taken for Setup to be identified with Red Paint to make it visually recognizable in OK parts. <a href="#">786_Occurance_After.pptx</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	Only Visual Inspection is done for Threading <a href="#">786_Outflow_Before.pptx</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	Inspection Method is changed, 100% Inspection of major Diameter is Implemented <a href="#">786_Outflow_After.pptx</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	Yes
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	All Fork Bolt having M26X1-6g threading

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	ControlPlan, WISOP, InspCheckSheet
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	None

### 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	150
<b>Reason for submission</b>	OK