Defect Details

NC No.	8000874661
NC Date	16/05/2024
NC Submission Date	
Part No.	F2DZ10910B
Part Name	FORK BOLT Ø33 SPD
Supplier Name & Code	100002-CAST AND ALLOYS
ETL Plant	1118-ETL E-92,93 Suspension
Defect Details	THREADING NOT OK-THREADE M12X16H UNDER SIZE

1. Problem Description

Defect Description	M12 Threading under size
Detection Stage	Receipt
Problem Severity	Fitment
NG Quantity	115
Is Defect Repeatative?	No
Defect Sketch / Photo	

Supplier Communication Details

Quality Head Email ID	niyati@cast-alloys.com
Plant Head/CEO Email ID	shiv.k@cast-alloys.com
MD Email ID	dipen@cast-alloys.com

2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
Total Qty	1680	0	0	0	0	1680
Check Qty	1564	0	0	0	0	1564
NG Qty	116	0	0	0	0	116

Action taken on NG part

Scrap	116
Rework	0
Under Deviation	0

Containment Action

100 % Checked 3 Lots after Complaint

3. Process Flow

At Machining Stage

4. Process Details

Process / Operation	Machining
Outsource	No
Machine / Cell	CNC
Machine / Cell No.	CNC

5. Problem Analysis

Туре	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Man	Untrained Operator	Skilled Manpower Available	Х
Man	SOP not Followed	Part Made as per SOP	Х
Machine	Inadequate Machine Parameters	Machining Parameters are Verified and Found as per Control Plan	Х
Tool	Tool Wear Out	Tool Condition Found Satisfactory	Х
Tool	Wrong Tool Selection	Tooling Used as per Process Parameter Checksheet	Х
Man	Incorrect Tool Offseting	Operator Mistake in Providing Offset for Tool	0

6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

Inspection Method	Gauge
Other Inspection Method	
Check Point at Final Inspection	Yes
Checking Freq.	Sampling
Sampling	No
Sample Size	5 / Batch

7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

Why 1	M12X1 6 H Gauge Under Size
Why 2	During Machining .
Why 3	Incorrect Tool Offset .
Why 4	Operator Error Mistake During Offset Setting .
Why 5	
Root Cause (Occurance)	Operator Error Mistake During Offset Setting .

Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

Why 1	M12X1 6 H Gauge Under Size
Why 2	Missed at Final Inspection stage`
Why 3	Sampling Base Inspection at FI Stage
Why 4	
Why 5	
Root Cause (Outflow)	Sampling Base Inspection at FI Stage

8. Countermeasure (Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions)

Туре	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Occurance	Retraining Provided to Machining Operator. 2. Supervisor to verify the part after providing any offset.	Naitik Vachani	19/05/2024	19/05/2024	Completed
Outflow	100 % Inspection Started at Machining Stage & At Final Inspection Stage Three Lots to be 100% Verified	Nitin Chaudhari	19/05/2024	19/05/2024	Completed

9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

Change In Inspection System	Yes
Change Details	100% Inspection Started at Machining Stage
Inspection Method	Gauge
Other Inspection Method	
Check Point at Final Inspection	Yes
Checking Freq.	Sampling
Sampling	No
Sample Size	5 / Batch

10. Evidance of Countermeasure

Occurance (Before)	
Occurance (After)	
Outflow (Before)	
Outflow (After)	

11. Horizontal Deployment

Horizontal Deployment Required
Applicable Machine / Model / Plant

12. Document Review

13. Effectiveness Of Action

Reviewed Quantity		
Reason for submission	on	