Defect Details

| NC No. | 8000877124 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| NC Date | 05/06/2024 |
| NC Submission Date | |
| Part No. | F2FA15933M |
| Part Name | FORK PIPE MACHINED (K19 DRUM FF) |
| Supplier Name & Code | 101030-TUBE INVESTMENTS OF INDIA LTD |
| ETL Plant | 1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension |
| Defect Details | NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-THREADING NG |

1. Problem Description

| Defect Description | THREADING NG |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| Detection Stage | Receipt |
| Problem Severity | Fitment |
| NG Quantity | 3 |
| Is Defect Repeatative? | Yes |
| Defect Sketch / Photo | |

Supplier Communication Details

| Quality Head Email ID | AmitVD@tii.murugappa.com | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Plant Head/CEO Email | guptaajay@tii.murugappa.com | |
| MD Email ID | mukeshahuja@tii.murugappa.com | |

2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

| Location | ETL End | Warehouse | Transit | Supplier FG | Supplier WIP | Total |
|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Total Qty | 400 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 800 |
| Check Qty | 400 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 800 |
| NG Qty | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 12 |

Action taken on NG part

| Scrap | 12 |
|-----------------|----|
| Rework | 0 |
| Under Deviation | 0 |

Containment Action

All Stock available at ETL end & Inhouse checked for the Threading Parameter

3. Process Flow

Process Flow Description

Raw Material Inspection- Machining (Cualking & Threading)-Drilling-Oiling-Final Inspection-Dispatch

4. Process Details

| Process / Operation | Machining |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Outsource | No |
| Machine / Cell | CNC Machine Cell |
| Machine / Cell No. | M/c. 16 & 17 |

5. Problem Analysis

| Туре | Possible Cause | Fact Verification | Jud |
|--------|---|--|-----|
| Method | No step wise offset given & verified it's impact to achieve the product characteristics | Wrong off set at time of set up | Х |
| Method | Inspection on a sampling basis | Inspection on a sampling basis which is not adequate for detection | Х |

6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

| Inspection Method | Gauge |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | Sampling |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | 13 Nos. |

7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

| Why 1 | Threading NG - Threading Minor Dia observed under size. |
|------------------------|---|
| Why 2 | Excess Material removal during machining Cut for threading Minor Dia |
| Why 3 | During the Machining setup geometric parameter setting not proper |
| Why 4 | The wrong offset value was put during the setup. |
| Why 5 | The CNC Program did not have Offest value interlocking to give threading offset. & hence offset could be given from 10 microns to 0.2 mm. |
| Root Cause (Occurance) | The CNC Program did not have Offest value interlocking to give threading offset. & hence offset could be given from 10 microns to 0.2 mm. |

Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

| Why 1 | Not detected during the final inspection |
|----------------------|--|
| Why 2 | Inspection on a sampling basis which is not adequate for detection |
| Why 3 | |
| Why 4 | |
| Why 5 | |
| Root Cause (Outflow) | Inspection on a sampling basis which is not adequate for detection |

8. Countermeasure (Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions)

| Туре | Countermeasure Details | Responsibility | Target Date | Actual Date | Status |
|-----------|--|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Outflow | Sampling Inspection Qty. Doubled from 13 Nos/500 Nos to 26 Nos./500 Nos. | Mr. Dethe SS | 07/06/2024 | 06/06/2024 | Completed |
| Occurance | Threading Offset Value has been locked in the CNC Program for 50 microns so that more than 50 micron offset cannot be given. | Mr. Rathod KS | 03/07/2024 | 03/07/2024 | Completed |

9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

| Change In Inspection System | Yes |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Change Details | Sampling Inspection Qty. Doubled from 13 Nos/500 Nos. to 26 Nos./500 Nos. |
| Inspection Method | Gauge |
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | Sampling |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | 26/500 Nos |

10. Evidance of Countermeasure

| Occurance (Before) | Before: The CNC Program did not have Offset value interlocking to give threading offset. & hence offset could be given from 10 microns to 0.2 mm. 837_Occurance_Before.pdf |
|--------------------|--|
| Occurance (After) | After: Threading Offset Value has been locked in the CNC Program for 50 microns so that more than 50 micron offset cannot be given. 837_Occurance_After.pdf |
| Outflow (Before) | Sampling Inspection Qty. 13 Nos/500 Nos. Not Adhequete 837_Outflow_Before.pdf |
| Outflow (After) | Sampling Inspection Qty. Doubled from 13 Nos/500 Nos to 26 Nos./500 Nos 837_Outflow_After.pdf |

11. Horizontal Deployment

| Horizontal Deployment Required | Yes |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Applicable Machine / Model / Plant | All Model |

12. Document Review

| Documents | WISOP, InspCheckSheet |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Specify Other Document | Sampling Plan |

13. Effectiveness Of Action

Reason for submission

Threading minor dia. observed undersize What is the root cause ??? Why offset is not interlock with machine Kindly provide auto correction unit