

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000882493
<b>NC Date</b>	14/07/2024
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	F2FA10933M
<b>Part Name</b>	FORK PIPE MACHINED - J1A
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	100576-SANGKAJ BRIGHT WIRES PVT LTD
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension
<b>Defect Details</b>	NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-DU BUSH OD O/S

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	DU BUSH OD O/S
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Fitment
<b>NG Quantity</b>	53
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	Yes
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	brightwire.qa@sangkaj.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	steel@sangkaj.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	anirudh.2007@hotmail.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	3500	0	0	2500	1500	7500
<b>Check Qty</b>	3500	0	0	2500	1500	7500
<b>NG Qty</b>	53	0	0	0	0	53

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	53
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

1) At ETL end verified 3500 Nos & 53 nos found not ok. 2) At SBWPL end verify 4000 nos & all part found ok. 3) For ok parts provided 100 % Verification identification marking on DU bush OD.

## 3. Process Flow

## Process Flow Description

Receipt Of Material - Inward Inspection - CNC 1st Setup - CNC 2nd Setup - Drilling - Final Inspection - Air Cleaning - Dispatch

## 4. Process Details

<b>Process / Operation</b>	CNC 2nd Setup
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	Machining
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	Fork Pipe Machining

## 5. Problem Analysis

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Material	Material Hardness not ok	Verified found ok	O
Machine	Wear Offset lock	Verified found not ok	X
Method	Loading & Unloading	Verified Found OK	O
Man	Operator New	Verified found not ok	X
Tool	Tool holder loose	Verified Found Ok	O
Machine	Spindle Run out	Verified found ok	O

## 6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	Sampling
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	10 nos

## 7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

<b>Why 1</b>	DU Bush OD Oversize
<b>Why 2</b>	DU Bush OD At lower side
<b>Why 3</b>	At the time of correction operator take offset 0.04. reading get higher size by 0.01
<b>Why 4</b>	Wrong offset given by operator
<b>Why 5</b>	Wear offset not lock in cnc machine in 0.05mm
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	Wear offset not lock in cnc machine in 0.05mm

## Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

<b>Why 1</b>	DU Bush OD Oversize
<b>Why 2</b>	inspection skipped by Operator
<b>Why 3</b>	inspection done on sampling basis.
<b>Why 4</b>	
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	inspection done on sampling basis.

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Outflow	For ok parts provided 100 % Verification identification marking on DU Bush OD.	Mr. Nitin Puri	14/07/2024	14/07/2024	Completed
Occurance	Provide On job Training and awareness given to all inspectors and CNC Operator for DU Bush OD oversize & its importance	Mr. Barik & Nitin Puri	14/07/2024	14/07/2024	Completed
Occurance	Wear Offset lock by 0.03mm	Mr. Barik	15/07/2024	15/07/2024	Completed
Outflow	Display the OPL	Mr. Mukesh Rathod & Manoj Pathe	14/07/2024	14/07/2024	Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	Yes
<b>Change Details</b>	started 100% Inspection at final inspection stage only for 10 days. after 10 days we have started the sampling basis inspection.
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

## 10. Evidance of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	Wear Offset lock in 0.05mm <a href="#">936_Occurance_Before.jpg</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	Wear Offset lock in 0.01mm <a href="#">936_Occurance_After.jpg</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	Identification not provided after inspection <a href="#">936_Outflow_Before.jpg</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	Identification mark provided after inspection <a href="#">936_Outflow_After.xlsx</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	Yes
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	All model

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	WISOP
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	OPL

### 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	144
<b>Reason for submission</b>	Why Wear offset not consider 0.010mm In future it will repeat So reverify for wear offset 0.010mm against 0.03mm