

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000889503
<b>NC Date</b>	02/09/2024
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	F2GN11802B
<b>Part Name</b>	MAIN SPRING-ABWB ENDURO
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	100952-STUMPP SCHUELE AND SOMAPPA SPR
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension
<b>Defect Details</b>	LENGTH OVERSIZE-TOTAL LENGTH OVERSIZE

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	TOTAL LENGTH OVERSIZE
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Inprocess
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Function
<b>NG Quantity</b>	129
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	Yes
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	hravikumar@ssssprings.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	sreenivasulu.k@ssssprings.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	rln@ssssprings.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	1500	0	0	300	0	1800
<b>Check Qty</b>	1500	0	0	300	0	1800
<b>NG Qty</b>	129	0	0	0	0	129

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	129
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

After Receipt of Complaint 100% Parts Verify at ETL End as Well as Inhouse for Length Undersize Issue, At ETL found 129 no's Length Undersize spring

## 3. Process Flow

**Process Flow Description**

Coiling - Tempering-Grinding-Shot Peening - Setting-100% Lo Inspection-2nd Tempering-Visual Inspection-Oiling-Final Inspection-FG Storage-Packing-Dispatch

**4. Process Details**

<b>Process / Operation</b>	Setting / Lo Inspection
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	FF Cell
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	02

**5. Problem Analysis**

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Man	Operator Verified only Part Name not Item Code	Operator not verified Properly Item Code	X
Method	At Setting (Scragging) & Length Inspection Stage Improper Set-up	Operator Set-up at Scragging Stage length as per Similar name ABWB ADJUSTABLE. instead of ABWB	X
Method	100% Length Operator Set Universal Snap Gauge as Per ABWB ADJS. (E92 Parts) and Checked Length	Due to Same Name- As per ABWB ADJS. Length inspection carried (ABWB ADJS. Length is less than ABWB )	X

**6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)**

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

**7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)**

<b>Why 1</b>	Length Undersize Spring Observed
<b>Why 2</b>	At Setting (Scragging) Stage Improper Set-up
<b>Why 3</b>	Due to Similar name ABWB ADJUSTABLE. instead of ABWB Operator Set-up at Scragging Stage length as per ABWB Adjustable
<b>Why 4</b>	Operator less aware about Similar Model-As per Tag Name Mentioned only ABWB
<b>Why 5</b>	Due to this Length Undersize issue Happen
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	Due to Similar name ABWB & ABWB Adjs. Operator Set-up Scragging as per ABWB Adjs.

**Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)**

<b>Why 1</b>	Length Undersize Spring Observed
<b>Why 2</b>	100% Length Operator Set Universal Snap Gauge as Per ABWB ADJS. (E92 Parts) and Checked Length
<b>Why 3</b>	Due to this Length Undersize issue observed
<b>Why 4</b>	
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	100% Length Operator Set Universal Snap Gauge as Per ABWB ADJS. (E92 Parts) and Checked Length- Due to Similar name

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Occurance	Similar Parts Lengthwise Matrix Made and Displayed on Scragging Stage - (As well Inform to Operator to verify as per Item Code )	Mr. Ishwar Jadhav	05/09/2024	01/09/2024	Completed
Occurance	Earlier Universal Gauge Set -up with Vernier Caliper, Now We have Made Spring wise Limit Sample for Length Set-up, Operator Can Compare with Respective Model	Mr. Shankar Fadat	06/09/2024	06/09/2024	Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	No
<b>Change Details</b>	Earlier Universal Gauge Set -up with Vernier Caliper, Now We have Made Spring wise Limit Sample for Length Set-up, Operator Can Compare with Respective Model During Scragging Set-up
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Gauge
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

## 10. Evidence of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	Due to Similar name ABWB & ABWB Adjs. Operator Set-up Scragging as per ABWB Adjs. <a href="#">1060_Occurance_Before.pdf</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	Similar Parts Lengthwise Matrix Made and Displayed on Scragging Stage - (As well Inform to Operator to verify as per Item Code ) <a href="#">1060_Occurance_After.pdf</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	100% Length Operator Set Universal Snap Gauge as Per ABWB ADJS. (E92 Parts) and Checked Length- Due to Similar name <a href="#">1060_Outflow_Before.pdf</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	Earlier Universal Gauge Set -up with Vernier Caliper, Now We have Made Spring wise Limit Sample for Length Set-up, Operator Can Compare with Respective Model <a href="#">1060_Outflow_After.pdf</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	Yes
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	FF Cell

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	WISOP
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	Limit Sample

## 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	50
<b>Reason for submission</b>	ok