

Defect Details

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| NC No. | 8000894559 |
| NC Date | 05/10/2024 |
| NC Submission Date | |
| Part No. | 550FA12233 |
| Part Name | FORK PIPE MACHINED - K3 |
| Supplier Name & Code | 100576-SANGKAJ BRIGHT WIRES PVT LTD |
| ETL Plant | 1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension |
| Defect Details | NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-SHORT LENGTH |

1. Problem Description

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Defect Description | SHORT LENGTH |
| Detection Stage | Inprocess |
| Problem Severity | Fitment |
| NG Quantity | 27 |
| Is Defect Repeatative? | Yes |
| Defect Sketch / Photo | |

Supplier Communication Details

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Quality Head Email ID | brightwire.qa@sangakj.com |
| Plant Head/CEO Email ID | steel@sangakj.com |
| MD Email ID | anirudh.2007@hotmail.com |

2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

| Location | ETL End | Warehouse | Transit | Supplier FG | Supplier WIP | Total |
|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Total Qty | 750 | 0 | 0 | 1200 | 650 | 2600 |
| Check Qty | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |
| NG Qty | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |

Action taken on NG part

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| Scrap | 27 |
| Rework | 0 |
| Under Deviation | 0 |

Containment Action

1) At ETL end verified 750 nos out of 27 nos found defective 2) At SBWPL end verified 1850 nos found ok. 3) Provided identification mark on ok part.

3. Process Flow

Process Flow Description

Receipt of Material - inward inspection - CNC 1st Setup - CNC 2nd Setup - Ø5 Drilling - Final Inspection - Air Cleaning - Dispatch

4. Process Details

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Process / Operation | CNC 2nd Setup |
| Outsource | No |
| Machine / Cell | CNC Cell |
| Machine / Cell No. | Fork Pipe Cell |

5. Problem Analysis

| Type | Possible Cause | Fact Verification | Jud |
|----------|---|-----------------------|-----|
| Man | New Operator | Verified Ok | O |
| Machine | Wrong offset | Verified ok | O |
| Material | Wrong material Received | Verified Ok | O |
| Man | Inspector/ operator negligence-during Inspection/ process | Verified found not ok | X |
| Method | No arrangement to remove the stopper stick burr | Verified found not ok | X |
| Tool | Insert Wear Out | Verified found ok | O |

6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Inspection Method | Sp. Gauge |
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | 100% |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | 100% |

7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Why 1 | Fork pipe short length |
| Why 2 | Fork pipe not properly rest on stopper face |
| Why 3 | Machining loose burr stack on stopper face |
| Why 4 | No arrangement to remove the stopper stack burr. |
| Why 5 | |
| Root Cause (Occurance) | No arrangement to remove the stopper stack burr. |

Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Why 1 | Fork pipe short length |
| Why 2 | Skipped from operator & inspector. |
| Why 3 | Due to operator & inspector negligence. |
| Why 4 | |
| Why 5 | |
| Root Cause (Outflow) | Due to operator & inspector negligence. |

8. Countermeasure (Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions)

| Type | Countermeasure Details | Responsibility | Target Date | Actual Date | Status |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Occurance | Air flushing provided on CNC machining to remove the stack burr. | Mr. Barik & Mr. Nitin Puri | 11/10/2024 | 11/10/2024 | Completed |
| Outflow | 1) 100% inspection with the help of Spl gauge at machining stage 100% & final inspection doing 15 days. | Mr. Nitin Puri | 11/10/2024 | 11/10/2024 | Completed |

9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Change In Inspection System | No |
| Change Details | No Change in inspection method |
| Inspection Method | Sp. Gauge |
| Other Inspection Method | |
| Check Point at Final Inspection | Yes |
| Checking Freq. | 100% |
| Sampling | No |
| Sample Size | 100% |

10. Evidence of Countermeasure

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Occurance (Before) | No arrangement of air flushing to remove the stuck burr. 1135_Occurance_Before.xlsx |
| Occurance (After) | Provided Air flushining rrangement to remove the stuck burr. 1135_Occurance_After.xlsx |
| Outflow (Before) | Identification mark not provided after final inspection. 1135_Outflow_Before.xlsx |
| Outflow (After) | 1) Identification mark provided after final inspection. 2) 100% inspection with the help of spl. height gauge. 3) Sampling Basis inspection with the help of DHG 1135_Outflow_After.xlsx |

11. Horizontal Deployment

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Horizontal Deployment Required | Yes |
| Applicable Machine / Model / Plant | Applicable all model & machine |

12. Document Review

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Documents | WISOP |
| Specify Other Document | Display OPL |

13. Effectiveness Of Action

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| Reviewed Quantity | 58 |
|--------------------------|----|

Reason for submission

Verified lot found Ok