

## Defect Details

<b>NC No.</b>	8000902882
<b>NC Date</b>	09/12/2024
<b>NC Submission Date</b>	
<b>Part No.</b>	F2PA00202B
<b>Part Name</b>	BOTTOM CASE RING RE J1A
<b>Supplier Name &amp; Code</b>	100990-JAIRAJ ANCILLARIES PVT LTD
<b>ETL Plant</b>	1117-ETL K-228/9 Suspension
<b>Defect Details</b>	NOT AS PER SPECIFICATION-BREAK

## 1. Problem Description

<b>Defect Description</b>	BREAK
<b>Detection Stage</b>	Receipt
<b>Problem Severity</b>	Function
<b>NG Quantity</b>	700
<b>Is Defect Repeatative?</b>	Yes
<b>Defect Sketch / Photo</b>	<a href="#">3tkupsqk0tnofazl2odnzfet.jpg</a>

## Supplier Communication Details

<b>Quality Head Email ID</b>	planthead.aurangabad@jairajgroup.com
<b>Plant Head/CEO Email ID</b>	vp@jairajgroup.com
<b>MD Email ID</b>	rajiv@jairajgroup.com

## 2. Stock Details &amp; action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
<b>Total Qty</b>	5000	0	0	2000	0	7000
<b>Check Qty</b>	5000	0	0	2000	0	7000
<b>NG Qty</b>	700	0	0	0	0	700

## Action taken on NG part

<b>Scrap</b>	700
<b>Rework</b>	0
<b>Under Deviation</b>	0

## Containment Action

All available material checked 100 % at ETL end and found 700 no. defective out of 5000 no. checked. All available inhouse FG material checked 100% and found no defective parts out of 2000 no. checked.

## 3. Process Flow

## Process Flow Description

Injection Moulding & Annealing

### 4. Process Details

<b>Process / Operation</b>	Injection Moulding & Annealing
<b>Outsource</b>	No
<b>Machine / Cell</b>	IMM-09
<b>Machine / Cell No.</b>	IMM-09

### 5. Problem Analysis

Type	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Man	Man Power does not aware about this defect .	Verified that operator , In process inspector & Final Inspector aware about this defect .	O
Material	RM Grade not as per CP .	Check with MTC & found ok as per specification	O
Machine	OCS & control plan not followed by Machine Operator .	Verified as per Cp & OCS found ok	O
Method	Annealing surface volume in tank in sufficient to dip the parts in medium.	Verified and found due to small tank top parts are getting float in medium .	X
Method	RM preheating not done	Verified as per CP & OCS found ok , As per Standard Specification	O
Machine	Barrel Temp High / Low	Verified as per Cp & OCS found ok , As per Standard Specification	O
Tool	Air trapped & air vent inefficient open	Verified the air vent and found ok	O

### 6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

<b>Inspection Method</b>	Other
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	Visually
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	Sampling
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	5

### 7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

<b>Why 1</b>	Parts are getting broken erratically on weld line surface.
<b>Why 2</b>	Parts are not getting fully dipped in annealing medium
<b>Why 3</b>	Due to parts are getting floated above the medium surface
<b>Why 4</b>	Due to Annealing tank size small , parts surface not completely annealed.
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Occurance)</b>	Due to Annealing tank size small , top parts surface not completely annealed resulting into insufficient annealing . Due to Annealing tank size small , parts surface not completely annealed resulting in breakages erratically.

### Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

<b>Why 1</b>	Parts are getting broken erratically on weld Line surface.
<b>Why 2</b>	Parts are getting skipped from Operator and Final Inspector
<b>Why 3</b>	After annealing process , parts are getting checked on sampling Inspection

<b>Why 4</b>	Lack of awareness of highlighted defect.
<b>Why 5</b>	
<b>Root Cause (Outflow)</b>	Lack of awareness of highlighted defect.

## 8. Countermeasure ( Occurrence , Outflow & System side Actions )

Type	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Occurance	Big Annealing tank to Incorporate so that max parts are getting covered in one annealing cycle.	Ganesh Mhaske	18/12/2024	18/12/2024	Completed
Occurance	Annealing record Register monitoring started	Ganesh mhaske	18/12/2024	18/12/2024	Completed
Outflow	Awareness training given to in process inspector & Final Inspector about highlighted defect .	Amol Chidre	20/12/2024	20/12/2024	Completed

## 9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

<b>Change In Inspection System</b>	Yes
<b>Change Details</b>	100% Visual Inspection for part softness after annealing.
<b>Inspection Method</b>	Other
<b>Other Inspection Method</b>	Visually
<b>Check Point at Final Inspection</b>	Yes
<b>Checking Freq.</b>	100%
<b>Sampling</b>	No
<b>Sample Size</b>	100%

## 10. Evidence of Countermeasure

<b>Occurance (Before)</b>	Earlier small Annealing tank does not cover part max occupancy per cycle . Parts are getting open in medium due to floating on surface at top side which may be the probable cause <a href="#">1264_Occurance_Before.pptx</a>
<b>Occurance (After)</b>	Now Big Size Annealing tank implemented so that max parts are covered per cycle. Annealing Monitoring record started. <a href="#">1264_Occurance_After.pdf</a>
<b>Outflow (Before)</b>	Earlier Parts Softness & ductility after annealing process was checked at sampling basis. <a href="#">1264_Outflow_Before.pdf</a>
<b>Outflow (After)</b>	Parts softness and Ductility checking with 100% Inspection with OJT to In process and Firewall Inspectors <a href="#">1264_Outflow_After.pdf</a>

## 11. Horizontal Deployment

<b>Horizontal Deployment Required</b>	No
<b>Applicable Machine / Model / Plant</b>	N/A

## 12. Document Review

<b>Documents</b>	WISOP, InspCheckSheet
<b>Specify Other Document</b>	N/A

### 13. Effectiveness Of Action

<b>Reviewed Quantity</b>	100
<b>Reason for submission</b>	ok