Defect Details

NC No.	7000851996
NC Date	27/07/2022
NC Submission Date	
Part No.	S2KH01502B
Part Name	REBOUND SPRING K55G
Supplier Name & Code	100185-HELICAL SPRINGS
ETL Plant	1136-ETL Suspension Sanand
Defect Details	SHARP EDGE & BURR-sharp Edge at end coil Id

1. Problem Description

Defect Description	Sharp edge at end coil cutting edge towards ID
Detection Stage	Receipt
Problem Severity	Function
NG Quantity	1384
Is Defect Repeatative?	No
Defect Sketch / Photo	

Supplier Communication Details

Quality Head Email ID	Prabhat@helicalsprings.in
Plant Head/CEO Email ID	awadhwa@helicalsprings.in
MD Email ID	ataneja@helicalsprings.in

2. Stock Details & action taken for NG parts

Location	ETL End	Warehouse	Transit	Supplier FG	Supplier WIP	Total
Total Qty	20000	15000	0	10000	0	45000
Check Qty	20000	15000	0	10000	0	45000
NG Qty	1384	0	0	0	0	1384

Action taken on NG part

Scrap	1384
Rework	0
Under Deviation	0

Containment Action

Segregation of these material at customer end and our end.

3. Process Flow

Process Flow Description

R/M Inspection->Coiling->SR-1->Grinding->Shot peening->SR-2->Final Inspection-> Packing /Despatch

4. Process Details

Process / Operation	Coiling
Outsource	No
Machine / Cell	Coiling Machine
Machine / Cell No.	HTC-45B

5. Problem Analysis

Туре	Possible Cause	Fact Verification	Jud
Man	Competency level less	verify the skill matrix , competency level 4	0
Machine	Setting problem	Verify the Set-up report found ok	0
Material	wire grade Ng	verify the setup report found ok	0
Method	checking method	verify the defect monitoring sheet	0
Tool	Shearing tool blunt	tool history card	Х

6. Inspection Method Analysis (Current)

Inspection Method	Gauge
Other Inspection Method	
Check Point at Final Inspection	Yes
Checking Freq.	100%
Sampling	No
Sample Size	0

7. Root Cause Analysis (Occurance)

Why 1	Sharp edge at the End Coil ID
Why 2	During Coiling mandrel was blunt.
Why 3	Operator was not check the mandrel Before completion of 50000 cycle.
Why 4	Mandrel Checking frequency was define 50000 cycle to cut spring after completion of 50,000 cycle than operator was checked the mandrel.
Why 5	
Root Cause (Occurance)	After simulation, during run the machine Observed the mandrel, mandrel was blunt before completion 50000 cycle. but here mandrel checking frequency define after completion of 50000 cycle.

Root Cause Analysis (Outflow)

Why 1	Sharp edge at the End Coil ID
Why 2	This type of defect inspector did not capture.
Why 3	Inspector was semi skilled .
Why 4	
Why 5	

8. Countermeasure (${\sf Occurrence}$, ${\sf Outflow}$ & ${\sf System}$ side ${\sf Actions}$)

Туре	Countermeasure Details	Responsibility	Target Date	Actual Date	Status
Occurance	Provided the training all concern person.	Arunesh	29/07/2022		Completed
Outflow	Depute the skilled person	Bharat	01/08/2022		Completed
Outflow	Poison test organised	Bharat	03/08/2022		Inprocess
Occurance	Revised the mandril frequency. 50000 replace to 40000.	Sumer	01/08/2022		Completed
Occurance	Displayed the Quality alert .	Bharat	29/07/2022		Completed

9. Inspection Method After Customer Complaint

Change In Inspection System	No
Change Details	no
Inspection Method	Other
Other Inspection Method	Visual
Check Point at Final Inspection	Yes
Checking Freq.	100%
Sampling	No
Sample Size	0

10. Evidance of Countermeasure

Occurance (Before)	Tool history card before customer complaint 210_Occurance_Before.jpg
Occurance (After)	Tool history card after complaint. 210_Occurance_After.jpg
Outflow (Before)	Was not fix the inspector 210_Outflow_Before.pptx
Outflow (After)	Fix the operator 210_Outflow_After.pptx

11. Horizontal Deployment

Horizontal Deployment Required	Yes
Applicable Machine / Model / Plant	poison test monthly plan for critical parameter and customer complaint defect.

12. Document Review

Documents	PFMEA
Specify Other Document	NO

13. Effectiveness Of Action

Reviewed Quantity

Reason for submission